

* COUNTRACT * STATISTICS * STATISTICS 1850 1850 1850 1850 1850 1850 1850 1850 1850 1850 1850 1850 1850 1850 1850 1957 1	SILICON VALLEY 2.0 SPUR			
	22-SEPT-2015	County of Santa Clara Office of	Sustainability	

Strategic Growth Council



WHY NOW?

THE 4 FAULTY PRESUMPTIONS OF ADAPTATION

- It is too speculative
- It is too far away
- It has no present benefits
 - It costs too much
- (Re)Insurance / FEMA will take care of it



WHAT IS IT? WHAT IT IS NOT!

SILICON VALLEY 2.0 PLATFORM

- A Decision-Support designed for flexibility, expansion, replication
- A suite of resources to minimize the anticipated impacts of climate change within the County boundary
- A living Guidebook that provides a recommended set of short, mid, and long term strategies for implementation
- Helps establish a proactive framework for collaboration between the County, cities, agencies, stakeholders (State and federal authorities, private landowners, et al)

Not designed as a "plan" to be adopted by one or many jurisdictions



CLIMATE VARIABLE DATA

Clima	ite Variable	Historical (frequency + trend)	Future
	Sea Level Rise	0.8 inches/decade 1	 Mid-century: 11-19 inches End-of-Century: 30-55 inches
	Riverine Flooding	Annual (trend uncertain)	 No annual change Reduced spring and autumn precipitation Generally wetter winter precipitation Increased intensity Increased frequency of strong storms
*	Wildfire	Multiple/decade ↑	 Increasing in frequency and duration Change in severity unknown
	Extreme Heat	Multiple/decade ↑	 Increasing in frequency, duration + severity

COMMUNITY ASSET DATA

ASSET SECTOR	SUB-ASSET SECTORS (TYPES)
Shoreline Flood Protection (All)	 Engineered flood protection (dikes + levees) Non-engineered berms Wetlands Serving other assets regionally
Buildings + Properties	 Buildings (per parcel) Property (vacant urbanized land, not large-scale open space or agricultural land)
Communications	 Fiber optics lines Data centers Communication towers

COMMUNITY ASSET DATA, CONTINUED

ASSET SECTOR	SUB-ASSET SECTORS (TYPES)	
Ecosystems	 Natural landscapes (includes large regional open spaces and parks) 	Coastal wetland (coastal salt marsh marsh) Coastal scrub Riparian and riverine Grassland Freshwater wetland Chaparral and scrubland Oak woodland Coniferous forest Redwood forest Hardwood forest Lakes and ponds
Energy	 Energy generation facilities Substations Transmission infrastructure (electrical) 	

COMMUNITY ASSET DATA, CONTINUED

ASSET SECTOR	SUB-ASSET SECTORS (TYPES)
Public Health	 General populations Vulnerable populations (seniors >65, children <5, disadvantaged, those with health conditions) Healthcare facilities and workers
Solid + Hazardous Waste	 Solid waste facilities (landfills, recycling facilities, transfer stations, composting) Contaminated land sites (Superfund, State Response, surface and ground toxicity) Hazardous waste sites (household and industrial waste storage)

COMMUNITY ASSET DATA, CONTINUED

ASSET SECTOR	SUB-ASSET SECTORS (TYPES)
Transportation	 Roads (highways and local) Bridges Pedestrian ways and bikeways Airports Rail (heavy and light)
Water + Wastewater	 Water treatment plants (potable water) Wastewater treatment plants Reservoirs

ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES

METHODOLOGY

» RATING SCALE, AS APPLIED COUNTYWIDE

- Rating scale for Replacement Costs, Interruption of Economic Activity, and Operational Costs based on estimated Countywide GDP
- Rating scale for loss of fiscal revenue based on estimated County and local jurisdiction property and sales tax revenue
- *NOTE: all values = 2014\$*

Rating Scale for Replacement Costs, Interruption of Economic Activity, and Operational Costs

Low	ş ₁ to less than	\$100 million
Moderate	\$100 million to less than	\$500 million
High	\$500 million to less than	\$3 billion
Very High	\$3 billion to less than	\$13 billion
Extreme	\$13 billion or greater	

Rating Scale for Fiscal Revenue Loss

Low	\$1 t	to less than	\$1,000,000
Moderate	\$1,000,000 t	to less than	\$4,000,000
High	\$4,000,000 t	to less than	\$18,000,000
Very High	\$18,000,000 t	to less than	\$90,000,000
Extreme	\$90,000,000	or more	

ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES

ASSET EXAMPLE

» TRANSPORTATION SECTOR: HIGHWAYS

Summary of Economic Consequences for Highways by Climate Variable

	SEA LEVEL RISE	SEA LEVEL RISE + STORM SURGE	RIVERINE FLOODING	WILDFIRE	EXTREME HEAT
Replacement Cost	Low	Low	High	N/A	N/A
Loss of Fiscal Revenue	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Change in Operational Costs	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Interruption of Economic Activity	High	High	Very High	N/A	N/A
Overall Economic Consequences	High	High	Very High	N/A	N/A

ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES

SUMMARY

» KEY FINDINGS: TOTAL ASSETS

Aggregate Economic Consequences for All Assets in Mid-Century Scenario

	SEA LEVEL RISE	SEA LEVEL RISE + STORM SURGE	RIVERINE FLOODING	WILDFIRE	EXTREME HEAT
Replacement Cost	Very High	Very High	Extreme	Extreme	N/A
Loss of Fiscal Revenue	High	High	Very High	Very High	N/A
Change in Operational Costs	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Low
Interruption of Economic Activity	High	Very High	Extreme	High	N/A
Overall Economic Consequences	Very High	Very High	Extreme	Extreme	Low



SILICON VALLEY 2.0

SV 2.0 Climate Change Preparedness Decision Support Tool - Demo

RISK FOR BUILDINGS / COUNTYWIDE



RISK FOR WASTEWATER TREATMENT / COUNTYWIDE



SV 2.0 ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES OUTPUT FROM THE TOOL

SILICON VALLEY 2.0

RISK FOR BUILDINGS / PALO ALTO



Rating Scale for Replacement Costs, Interruption of Economic Activity, and Operational Costs

Low	\$1 to less than	\$10,000
Moderate	\$10,000 to less than	\$100 million
High	\$100 million to less than	\$300 million
Very High	\$300 million to less than	\$1.4 billion
Extreme	\$1.4 billion or greater	

Rating Scale for Fiscal Revenue Loss

Low	\$1 to less than	\$40,000
Moderate	\$40,000 to less than	\$200,000
High	\$200,000 to less than	\$1 million
Very High	\$1 million to less than	\$5 million
Extreme	\$5 million or more	

SILICON VALLEY 2.0 CLIMATE CHANGE PREPAREDNESS DECISION SUPPORT TOOL



SV 2.0 ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES OUTPUT FROM THE TOOL

STRATEGY DEVELOPMENT

TEMPLATE

ASSET		CLIMATE VARIABLE	
STRATEGY TITLE			
Strategy Description			
SCALE	CLASS	INITIATION TIMING	LEAD
CROSS ASSET SECTOR APPLICABILITY		POTENTIAL FOR NEAR-TERM CO-BENEFITS	
IMPLEMENTATION NOTES		Timing, Potential Challenges + Solutions, Additional Benefits	
PRECEDENTS		Relevant Case Studies	

Does the strategy...

- Cross over climate variables and multiple sectors/assets
- Upstream-downstream benefits (chronologically)
- Geographic scope and collaborative scale
- Address critical facilities, lifeline routes, social/environmental equity
- Provide a positive impact on habitat protection or restoration?
- Require very high upfront capital cost, and therefore require complex financing arrangements?
- Provide a positive impact on the economy?







SILICON VALLEY 2.0 A REGIONAL CLIMATE ADAPTATION PLATFORM

County of Santa Clara Office of Sustainability

Demetra J. McBride, Dir., Office of Sustainability