

OCEAN BEACH OPEN HOUSE (05/10/14) - FEEDBACK RECEIVED ON THE BOARDS

OBMP Key Moves Board:

- Bulldoze down dunes & plant “ice plant” along the Great Highway. This will alleviate sand blowing into the “upper Great Highway”. (Key move 4)
- Create a narrow path at each beach access i.e. Noriega, Ortega etc. going to the ocean. Wide accesses create sand blowing into the highway - Up North near Pt Arena, at Manchester Beach, they have a narrow path to the ocean. This path would level to a more “nature” or “wildlife” existence with no sand-blowing into the highway. (Key move 4)
- Use ice-plant not natives for securing the sand along the highway. The “new” rage out at OB for gardens etc! (Key move 4)
- Preserve dark skies! (Key move 5)
- How come we see this happen right way? (Key move 6)
- Amenity pods, needs to go through environmental review? (Key move 6)

Transportation Long-Term Vision Board:

- Traffic calming at bus stop (on Skyline and Harding Rd)
- Go for it - GO!!
- Wave breakers with wave turbines (on the beach South of Sloat)
- a drawing that could be demonstrating rubble consolidation (on the beach South of Soat)
- Choke point for thru traffic + neighborhood - Back-up on weekends especially (on Sloat and 47th Ave)
- Preserve and enhance dark skies! (on Great Highway)

Transportation Boards:

2. What’s happening in the short term:

General Comments:

- Closure of SB Great Highway will increase traffic on surface streets

- Too much traffic on Lower Great Highway and adjacent streets already
- NO PARKING METERS on Outer Sunset streets
- Needs permanent safe restrooms in study area
- No mention of Janet Pomeroy Rec Center for disabled

4. A long term vision:

General Comments:

- Traffic quieting around Lake Merced please
- Reducing the Great Highway to 2 lanes will only push the traffic to the Lower Great Highway - If you lived there you would know
- This is a fantastic vision

5. Systems diagrams:

Transit:

- The 18 runs sometimes along the L.G Hwy
- L Taraval trains stack up on 47th Ave & Wawona St - No room for a bus
- Move L terminus to Sloat @ the Zoo

6. Details by area: Sloat Boulevard reconfiguration:

General Comments:

- This will be so much better for peds & bikes

view 1: entry to SF zoo at sloat blvd

- Dangerous intersection (Sloat & 47th Ave) for pedestrians, need a light

8. Details by area: roundabouts along skyline:

General Comments:

- Roundabouts are great!
- Roundabouts are good
- Roundabouts v v
- As a European I am amazed by the lack of roundabouts in the US

9. Details by area: great highway north of sloat:

Proposed parallel parking:

- This parking idea is absurd. You will have a huge community outcry if you try to move this forward!
- No Parking!
- Beach parking will be used by surfer during the day and partiers at night. Not good for local residents.

General comments:

- this area (Great Highway) needs to remain as wild as possible
- No Great Highway parking
- Promote visitor access @ GGP , Beach Chalet area - not Great Highway
- Work out alternate North/South traffic corridor
- Preserve + enhance dark skies

Proposed Perpendicular Parking:

- Choke point as traffic tries to exit parking lot, especially turning Northbound
- NO PARKING NEEDED

Cons: without signals traffic speed will be unsafe

Pros: NIMBY

Chicanes example:

- chicanes are good idea - gets rid of stop lights on GH! night light pollution
- chicanes OUGH. Will there be activated cross-walk lites (sic) for night time?

10. Details by area: coastal trail south of sloat:

Long-term vision for coast trail: YES!

Coastal Management Boards:

5a. Your Comments (written on the board):

- NO MORE PARKING ON GREAT HIGHWAY between Sloat & VF's (Beach Chalet)
 1. It is not necessary to further the goals of the OB Master Plan.
 2. Read "The high cost of free parking" by Donald Shoup - Free is a subsidy to big oil & car manufacturers, it is an externality that should not be borne by the public.

3. There is plenty of existing parking on the street. It is only impacted a dozen times a year and that means people just have to (gasp!) walk 2 blocks inland from their parking spot.

4. Unless you live on the Inner Great Highway as I do, you have no idea of the white elephant you are creating. The ongoing maintenance and additional policing will need to be fed forever - for no actual benefit. Sand blows constantly.

5. Given that it is not even necessary, why would we pay the environmental impacts, such as

- a. Erosion impacts vs dunes
- b. adding light pollution vs dark skies
- c. more paving vs less parking = global warming
- d. traffic movement

- Preserve & Enhance Dark Skies - No bright lights!
- SURFERS AND FISHERMEN NEED PARKING AT SLOAT.

5b. Your Comments (Post-its):

- Park where available is what we do.
- Park where needed is what we do.
- No extra parking - The less concrete the better.
- #3 I disagree: Going on Lower GH has become a dangerous parking lot. Visitors could park along GH bulb-outs.
- #5 - re: lite pollution look at design # 9 with chicanes on GH.
- Provide shuttle service or better public transport rather than more parking lots on Great Highway - No more paved surfaces - More public transport - Less cars more wild space.
- People should be free- cars should pay
- Preserve & enhance dark skies.
- Wave breakers
- How do I get to beach without car?
- No Parking on Great Highway from Sloat to Lincoln Way on West & Eastside
- Reopen Original original pedestrian entrances on Sloat Boulevard

Open Space Boards:

#1. Project Overview:

Existing conditions - O'Shaughnessy Seawall Parking Lot:

- Coordinate restoration/native planting at Lower Great Highway park
- Improve connection of bike lanes in park

#2. What's happening in the short term?

Interim coastal scenario 1:

- Add "off grid" bathroom out here (between Zoo & Oceanside Treatment Plant)
- Like scenario #1 with parking (Sloat & GHwy)

Interim coastal scenario 2:

- Imp (improvements?) to provide safety & buffer from vehicles
- Yes - bike parking needed
- Like bike parking
- Prefer scenario 2
- Yes! Restore bluffs
- I support the maximize recreation option

#3. Materials + character - South of Sloat:

Decomposed granite path:

- Prefer smoother surface
- Less of this

Boardwalk:

- More of this

Low impact beach access:

- Yes for this type of access, don't overbuild
- More of this

Low impact trail:

- Yes

Reuse of existing roadway:

- Sand management concern

Seating and gathering:

- No! Less pavement
- No!!
- Yes, seating - wind protection

Minimal site furnishings:

- Yes

Constructed viewing area:

- No, too ugly

Reuse of existing materials:

- Like reuse of existing materials

#4. Materials + character - South of Sloat:

Temporary artwork installations: Minimize art installations

Semi-permanent sculpture + furnishings:

- Nothing intrusive - The beach and the Ocean are the stars!
- No

Temporary installation:

- Yes, but only away from homes
- No
- (No) Will make noise and may obstruct home ocean views

Reuse of existing materials:

- No
- No scrounge of materials
- No!!

Temporary experiential artwork:

- Yes
- Yes

Bluff restoration with limited access:

- Don't add many concrete vista points, minimal unnatural components
- YES pathways for public access with nature restoration

#5. Long-term vision - south of Sloat: This road is critical to traffic flow when it's closed.

Look at the traffic on Skyline.