

July 23, 2008

312 Sutter Street, Suite 500 San Francisco, California 94108-4305

t.415.781.8726 f.415.781.7291

www.spur.org

Co-Chairs Andy Barnes Tom Hart

Jim Chappell
President

Gabriel Metcalf

Executive Director

Vice Chairs Lisa Feldstein Anne Halsted Jim Salinas, Sr. Libby Seifel

Terry Micheau Treasurer

> Jean Fraser Secretary

Vince Hoenigman Immediate Past Chair

Board Members Michael Alexander

Jim Andrew, Jr. David Baker Gwyneth Borden Margo Bradish Pam Brewster Laurence Burnett Michaela Cassidy Claudine Cheng Julienne Christensen Emilio Cruz Charmaine Curtis Gia Daniller Kelly Dearman Luisa Ezquerro Linda Jo Fitz Barbara French Frank Fudem Robert Gamble Gillian Gillett Chris Gruwell Dave Hartley Travis Kiyota Patricia Klitgaard Rik Kunnath Jim Lazarus John Madden

Ellen Lou Jacinta McCann Mary McCue Chris Meany Ezra Mersey Peter Mezev Ken Mintz Sandy Mori Dick Morten Brian O'Neill Chris Poland Teresa Rea Byron Rhett Criss Romero Bill Rosetti Victor Seeto Chi-Hsin Shao Raphael Sperry Bill Stotler Stephen Taber Michael Teitz Michael Theriault James Tracy

Jeff Tumlin Brooks Walker, III Debra Walker George Williams Paul Zeger Metropolitan Transportation Commission 101 Eighth Street

Re: Regional Transportation Plan 2035

Dear Commissioners:

Oakland, California 94607

On behalf of San Francisco Planning & Urban Research, a public policy think tank dedicated to good government and good planning, I am writing to comment on the proposed Transportation 2035 investment plan of \$232 billion over the next 25 years.

We support the emphasis on maintenance and efficiency in the discretionary program, and urge the adoption of choice #2 for the discretionary \$32 billion. However, we feel this emphasis is not sufficiently apparent in the financially constrained investment program you are asked to approve today, and cannot endorse approval of that package without qualification.

One of the strongest elements of this Regional Transportation Plan, and an area where the Metropolitan Transportation Commission is leading the nation, is the inclusion of performance measures to guide decisions about potential transportation infrastructure investments. We commend the Commission and MTC staff for using these standards, especially the ones that measure reductions in vehicle miles traveled and greenhouse gas emissions. It is imperative that we are strategic with our investments because we are faced with a new, unrelenting reality like none we have faced: the days of cheap fuel are over, and the consequences of a continually heating planet are already upon us and will worsen badly if we don't take immediate remedial action.

It is this new reality that prompts us to urge you to examine more closely the financially constrained investment program that includes a number of projects we feel do not meet the new goals adopted by the MTC for this RTP. Projects which do not meet these goals, including expansion projects in the so-called "committed" pot of funds, should not be included in the RTP.

Specifically, there are \$29 billion in expansion projects in the "committed" pot of funds that have not been subject to the MTC's new performance criteria. The

public and Commissioners deserve the chance to compare those investments with the investments in the \$32 billion discretionary pot of funding, and the investments in the "vision" portion of the plan which may be more strategic, such as the extension of Caltrain to downtown San Francisco.

We urge you to condition your approval of the RTP's investment package on the evaluation of the road and transit expansion projects in that package.

We look forward to working with the MTC staff on the development of a regional transportation system that supports a healthy region, growing in population and jobs while reducing its impact on the environment.

Sincerely,

Dave Snyder

Transportation Policy Director