

Linda Jo Fitz

**Executive Director** Gabriel Metcal

**Urban Center Director** Diane Filippi

**Executive Vice Chair** Anne Halsted

> Vice Chairs Alexa Arena Emilio Cruz David Friedman Bill Rosetti Lydia Tan V. Fei Tsen

> > Secretary Mary McCue

Treasurer Bob Gamble

Immediate Past Chair Andy Barnes Lee Blitch

> **Advisory Council** Co-Chairs Michael Alexander Paul Sedway

**Board Members** Carl Anthony Andy Barnes Veronica Bell Chris Block Larry Burnett Michaela Cassidy Michael Cohen Madeline Chun Charmaine Curtis Gia Daniller-Katz Oz Erickson Manny Flores Gillian Gillett Chris Gruwell Dave Hartley Aidan Hughes Mary Huss Chris Iglesias Richard Lonergan

Laurie Johnson Ken Kirkey Ellen Lou Janis MacKenzie John Madden Jacinta McCann Chris Meany Ezra Mersev Terry Micheau Mary Murphy Jeanne Myerson Adhi Nagraj Brad Paul Chris Poland Teresa Rea Byron Rhett Victor Seeto Elizabeth Seifel Carl Shannon Chi-Hsin Shao Ontario Smith Bill Stotler Stuart Sunshine Michael Theriault Michael Teitz James Tracv Will Travis Jeff Tumlin Steve Vettel Cynthia Wilusz-Lovell

Cindy Wu

January 8, 2013

Joshua Switzky San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Re: Central Corridor Project

Dear Mr. Switzky:

SPUR wishes to offer its comments on the latest version of the Central Corridor Plan. Given the enormous transit investment in the Central Subway that will run along 4<sup>th</sup> Street, coupled with the proposed extension of Caltrain and plans for High Speed Rail, this area's importance to San Francisco cannot be overstated.

Downtown San Francisco is the most sustainable job center in the region due to its walkable compact nature and its position as the hub of the regions transit infrastructure. Downtown San Francisco is the only employment node in the region where most people travel to work by sustainable transportation modes such as walking, bicycling and taking public transit.

The Central Corridor is one of the very few areas in the entire city that has the existing and planned transit infrastructure to build on these successes. It is critical that we consider the rezoning of Central Corridor Plan in the context of our longterm need for employment and housing space. We should make the most of the opportunity we have right now to zone for our future needs.

It is with these thoughts in mind that we provide the following specific comments on the proposed height alternatives for the Central Corridor. Specifically, we recommend making adjustments to the higher height alternative to study greater heights as part of the upcoming EIR process.

## **EIR Alternatives**

In our letter dated April 5<sup>th</sup>, 2012, we requested that the Department study an additional scenario to the four scenarios originally put forward on November 29<sup>th</sup>, 2011 – one with greater heights in a number of locations, including those parcels adjacent to the freeway and those adjacent to Townsend Street. We also suggested that higher heights along 5<sup>th</sup> Street and in other locations be encouraged within the plan area as well.

In June 2012, the Department put forward two alternatives to be studied in the EIR (the "proposed height limit" alternative and the "higher height limit" alternative). We prefer the higher height alternative to the proposed height limit alternative, but we believe there there is more work to be done to improve the higher height limit alternative. Specifically we offer the following suggestions:

SPUR URBAN CENTER 654 Mission Street San Francisco, California 94105

415.781.8726

www.spur.org

SPUR SAN JOSE 38 West Santa Clara Street San Jose, California

408.510.5688

www.spur.org/saniose

- 1. The higher height alternative studied in the EIR should include more intensive heights along the 5<sup>th</sup> Street Corridor south of the freeway. This area is only a block from the new Central Subway and within walking distance of Caltrain. At minimum the 85/160 ft zoning should be extended to the parcels on the eastern side of 5<sup>th</sup> Street between Bryant and Brannan as was proposed in Scenario 4. However the block to the west of 5<sup>th</sup> street should also be explored for intensification.
- 2. The Northeast corner of 3<sup>rd</sup> and Harrison should be zoned for higher heights. This site is currently a parking lot.
- 3. The Department should work with the MTA to pursue higher heights and joint development opportunities at the Central Subway Station site at 4<sup>th</sup> and Folsom. This is an ideal location for transit-intensive job uses.
- 4. The west side of the block of 4<sup>th</sup> Street between Bryant and Brannan should include the slightly higher heights contemplated in the November 29<sup>th</sup> Scenario 4 Alternative as this areas is located directly adjacent to the Brannan Street Central Subway Station.
- 5. The 85/200 ft zoning should be extended across the entire parcel located at the northeast corner of Brannan and 4<sup>th</sup> as was proposed in Scenario 4.

Thank you for your consideration of our comments. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at 415-644-4292

Sincerely,

Sarah Karlinsky Deputy Director

cc: SPUR Board of Directors

John Rahaim, San Francisco Planning Department
Jose Campos, San Francisco Planning Department
Sarah Dennis-Phillips, San Francisco Planning Department
Steven Wertheim, San Francisco Planning Department
Ken Rich, Mayor's Office of Economic and Workforce Development
Ed Reiskin, Municipal Transportation Agency