

## OFFICE OF THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR



Edwin M. Lee, Mayor Naomi M. Kelly, City Administrator

To:

The Honorable David Chiu, President, Board of Supervisors

From: Re: Naomi M. Kelly, City Administrator Urban Agriculture Recommendation

Date:

April 19, 2013

CC:

Mayor Edwin M. Lee

Members, Board of Supervisors

Phil Ginsburg, General Manager, Recreation and Park Department

Interested Parties

Pursuant to Ordinance 162-12 the City Administrator convened community stakeholders and departmental representatives to engage in a strategic planning process for urban agriculture. The effort was designed to provide baseline data, as defined, on urban agriculture activities. The strategic plan is attached.

It is clear that San Franciscans and their City government support urban agriculture. The City spends \$4.4 million on urban agriculture in the current year and we project City spending of \$3.6 million next year. Thousands of San Franciscans are involved in local urban gardens on public and private land throughout our City.

My office convened four working group meetings and three community town halls attended by departmental representatives and community members. We conducted more than 30 one on one interviews with key public stakeholders. This effort has produced original research which will be shared with the City agency that takes responsibility for this program.

My recommendation is as follows:

1. The Recreation and Park Department, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, Department of Public Health and Department of the Environment should continue their leadership roles on urban agriculture. Due to the unique constraints of the Charter and state law, it is impractical to establish an Urban Agriculture Program in one department with complete jurisdiction over all aspects of the urban agriculture area.

The Recreation and Park Department has unique jurisdiction over its lands, the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission controls the water, wastewater and power enterprises and use of ratepayer funds, and the Agriculture Commissioner in the Health Department has state mandated authority. Therefore, it is advisable that these departments, along with the Department of the Environment,

maintain their existing leadership roles in implementing urban agriculture programs and projects. Their existing programs, budgets and positions should remain at their respective departments.

2. The Recreation and Park Department should be the lead agency for the Urban Agriculture Program.

Notwithstanding the limitations outlined above, the Recreation and Park Department is the most logical place to house an Urban Agriculture program that convenes multiple departments. My basis for this recommendation is as follows:

- (a) The Recreation and Park Department has Charter authority over its lands, meaning it can easily implement new and existing urban agriculture efforts.
- (b) The Recreation and Park Department has properties throughout all of San Francisco, assuring geographic equity in the program.
- (c) The Open Space Fund provides an ongoing, dedicated source of funding for the program.
- (d) Through its partnership with the San Francisco Parks Alliance, the Recreation and Park Department has experience working with community partners in the urban agriculture space.
- (e) Senior level management, up to and including the General Manager, express support for the program.
- 3. San Francisco's public spending on Urban Agriculture is significant, and should be used to leverage new private investment. Many City grant programs match public funds with private investment. Kaiser Permanente and other corporate funders have made community gardens a focus of their charitable giving. The San Francisco Foundation will also be making a new investment in this area. Given our existing public budget limitations and the robust funding urban agriculture currently enjoys, it is worth increasing private support for these programs.

I have appreciated the opportunity to work on this matter. My understanding is that the Recreation and Park Department will take the lead at the Board of Supervisors on presenting the proposal outlined in recommendation two. If you require further information, please contact Deputy City Administrator Linda Yeung or Bill Barnes, Project Manager, in the City Administrator's Office.



Edwin M. Lee, Mayor Philip A. Ginsburg, General Manager

DATE:

April 9, 2013

TO:

Naomi M. Kelly

City Administrator

FROM:

Phil Ginsburg, General Manager

THROUGH:

Dawn Kamalanathan, Capital Program Manager

CC:

Sarah Ballard, Director of Policy and Public Affairs

RE:

Urban Agriculture Program Administration

The Recreation and Park Department (RPD) proposes that the newly created Urban Agriculture Program, per San Francisco Administrative Code Sections 53.1 through 53.4, be administered by the Recreation and Park Department as outlined below.

RPD was actively involved in the interdepartmental working group that crafted a preliminary strategic plan for meeting the goals of the Urban Agriculture ordinance. RPD has also run a Community Gardens Program for over twenty years, and currently manages 25 community garden sites, 9 DPW sites and 1 PUC site. The following areas of expertise that extend beyond the Community Gardens Program make RPD a strong candidate for accomplishing the work of the new citywide Urban Agriculture Program:

- Fundraising
- · Close, productive working relationships with other city departments
- Successful volunteer recruitment and management
- Inclusive, comprehensive community processes
- Established "partnerships pathway" for working in collaboration

The Department proposes to take the lead on advancing the Urban Agriculture Program by dedicating one full time FTE staff member to the administration and advancement of San Francisco's Urban Agriculture Program. This dedicated staff person will work closely with RPD's Community Gardens Program Manager (25% FTE for 1 staff member (5261 classification)), who is funded through existing Open Space funding for RPD Community Gardens Program and who has been actively involved with the interdepartmental urban agriculture strategic planning working group.

(See Next Page)

The Urban Agriculture Program Coordinator, housed within RPD, will perform the following tasks:

- 1. Work in close coordination with an interdepartmental staff working group comprised of representatives from departments that were actively involved in developing a preliminary strategic plan (such as RPD, SF Environment, DPW, PUC, Planning, Real Estate);
- 2. Work in close coordination with community stakeholder groups(such as SPUR, SFUAA) and individuals;
- 3. Outline year 1 deliverables and refine the strategic plan to accomplish these deliverables; such as
  - A. Identifying specific new sites and resource centers or "hubs" for urban agriculture, including specific budgets for each and opportunities for communal gardening;
  - B. Refine the strategy to reduce the waiting list for San Francisco residents seeking access to a community garden plot and to optimize plot use;
  - C. Expand the Department's recently improved Community Gardens Program website pages to be even more of a citywide resource;
- 4. Provide technical assistance to community gardening and urban agriculture organizations;
- 5. Develop and distribute materials and resources for urban agriculture;
- 6. Evaluate, at the close of year 1, whether more resources are needed, and make recommendations to the Board of Supervisors.

#### FY 2013 Budget (for one-time Addback from Supervisor David Chiu):

100% FTE for 1 staff member (3374 classification) for 1 full calendar year		\$	104,000
5% FTE for 1 RPD staff member (5261) to support work of 3374 Urban Agriculture Program Coordinator	+	\$.	16,000
Identified Urban Agriculture Program Funding through Addback		\$	120,000

#### Urban Agriculture Strategic Plan

Office of the City Administrator

**Background:** On July 10, 2012, the Board of Supervisors passed legislation on Urban Agriculture, which designated that the Mayor's Office and/or the Office of the City Administrator should produce several items related to Urban Agriculture.

Recommendation: After careful review, the Office of the City Administrator recommends that the City move the Urban Agriculture program formally to the Recreation and Park Department (RPD). RPD will employ one-full time FTE that will spend 100% of their time on Urban Agriculture. This person will coordinate closely with the other staff working on Urban Agriculture in the City, (most notably 50% of an FTE at the Department of Environment and 25% of an FTE at the Public Utilities Commission), and they will serve as one point of contact for the public on Urban Agriculture and as "Urban Agriculture experts". The Recreation and Park Department will use all of the information gathered by the Office of the City Administrator over the last several months to inform their "work plan" on Urban Agriculture over the next year.

**Appendices**: Attached to this document are several items that were requested as part of the strategic plan:

- Appendix A: List of All Urban Agriculture Programs
- Appendix B: Count of all Active Sites and their Coordinators
- Appendix C: Accounting of all City Funding and Resources (and full-time equivalent positions spending more than 10% of their time on Urban Ag).
- Appendix D: Audit of Rooftops of City Owned Buildings potentially suitable for Urban Agriculture
- Appendix E: Waiting Lists for Community Gardens what do other localities do?
- Appendix F: Urban Agriculture Needs Assessment
- Appendix G: Department Specific Urban Agriculture Programs
- Appendix H: Streamlined Application Process

The Office of the City Administrator would like to formally thank everyone involved in this strategic planning process over the last several months.

Company Market Company		ACTION TOUTING
18 Reasons	www.18reasons.org	Rosie Branson Gill Director
Agriculture Program of the SF Department of Public	www.sfdph.org/dph/EH/Agriculture	
Alemany Farm	www.alemanyfarm.org	
Aunt Em's Urban Inn and Farm	www.emsmn.com/index.html	Emme Levine
Bay Localize	www.baylocalize.org/	Kirsten Schwind, Co-founder
CCSF's Environmental Horticulture Classes	www.ccsf.edu/Departments/Environmental Horticulture and Floristry/	Steven Brown, Chair of
City Grazing	www.citygrazing.com	
Clean City Coalition	www.sfcleancity.com	Gia Nicole Grant - Executive
Community Grows	www.communitygrows.org	Nora Brenton - Programs
Design Ecology	www.designecology.com/	
Eating Dirt	www.eatingdirt.typepad.com/	Heather Knape
Ecology Center of San Francisco (ECOSF)	www.eco-st.org/	Sam Hartman
Education Outside (Formally Green Schoolyard Alliance)	www.educationoutside.org/	Arden Bucklin-Sporer
Feel The Earth	www.feeltheearth.org	Jonathan Silverman
Feeling Good Project	www.sfdph.org/dph/comupg/oprograms/MCH/FeelingGood.asp	
Food Runners	www.foodrunners.org	Nancy Hahn
Forage SF: Forage SF's community Kitchen	www.foragesf.com	Iso Rabins
Free Farm Stand	www.freefarmstand.org	Tree Rubenstein
From the Ground Up	www.fromthegroundupmovie.com/	Kristi Adams
Future Action Reclamation Mob (FARM)	www.tbinkdiscussact.org/farm/index.html	Robyn Waxman
Garden for the Environment	www.gardenfortheenvironment.org/	Blair Randall
Glide's roof garden/Graze the Roof	www.grazetheroof.blogspot.comhttp://glide.org/garden	Ari Neulight
Global Exchange	www.globalexchange.org/	
Good Eggs	www.goodeggs.com	Greta Caruso
Green Earth Gardeners	www.greenearthgardeners.com/	
Greenbelt Alliance	www.greenbelt.org/	Jeremy Madsen
Green TLC (Green Tenderloin Coaltion)		Kasev Asberry
GrowCity	www.grow-city.org/	Ellen Burke
Grably	www.gogrubly.com/chefs/	Tim West
Hayes Valley Farm	www.hayesvalleyfarm.com/index.html	Jay Rosenberg
Heartbeets	www.heartbeets.org	Laura Critchfield
Her Majesty's Secret Beekeeper	www.hmsbeekeeper.com/	
How to Homestead	www.howtohomestead.org/	
Hunters Point Family — Girls 2000	www.hunterspointfamily.org/girls.html	DTakai Tayler
lify Bitty Farm in the City	www.ittybittyfarminthecity.blogspot.com/	Heidi Kooy
Just One Tree	www.justonetree.org/	Isabel Wade
La Cocina	www.lacocinasf.org	Caleb Zigas
Little City Gardens	www.littlecitygardens.com	
Locavores	www.locavores.com/home.php	Jessica Prentice
Master Gardeners	www.smsf-mastergardeners.ucanr.org/	
Mission Community Market	www.missioncommunitymarket.org/	Jeremy Shaw
Mission Pie	www.missionpie.com	Krystin Rubin
North Control Grass		

٠.

•

.

Nextronnes	The second secon	
Con Commission	www.nextcourse.org	Cher White
Om Organics	www.omorganics.org	COST WILL
Reople Organized to Win Employment Rights	www.peopleorganized.org	
Pesticide Watch	www.nestherdewatch org	MISHWA Lee (POWER
Produce to the People	Www.modnoetotheneonle org	Dana Peris
Ouesada Gardens Initiative	TIME MISSISTER AND TO THE TENTE OF THE TENTE	Lauren Anderson
Rainbow Grocery	www.quesauagaidens.org	Jeffrey Betcher
R-PONOW	www.rambow.coop	
Court Vision 12 Provided in the Court Vision I	www.recology.com	Roh Reco
Sault vincent de Faul Society	www.svdp-sf.org	Ocean Cont
San Francisco Bee-Cause	WWW.sfheecanse org	
San Francisco Beekeepers Association	WWW office Arc	Karen Peteros
San Francisco Food Bank	STOCKET CAST CONTRACT	
San Francisco Food Sammery Pool Dougs	www.sucodusank.org	Paul Ash
San Francisco I vou Scoulity Lash Folice	www.stdph.org/dph/files/mtgsGrps/default.asp#foodsecurity	Panja Jones
Sent a realizable Development Corp.	www.sthdc.org/index.php/what we do/community development	Diane Raise
Sau rrancisco Landscapes	www.sflandscapes.com/	Control Office
San Francoscio Wholesale Produce Market	www.sfbrodince.org/	Casey Allen
SEFA: Southeast Food Access	SANATA CHANGE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF TH	Michael Janis
SFGRO	www.sigovo.org/maex.aspx/page=1183	Tracey Patterson
OF Domestic Care	www.stgro.org/index.php	
	www.permaculture-sf.org/	David Code:
SFE's Urban Orchards program	www.sfenvironment.org/article/irrhan-forestry.inthan-agricuitume alimate	David Cody
Shape Up Bayview	Www. shanelinef ore/A7	Mer Ling Hur
Slide Ranch	WANTE CHAMMAN AND	Cheryl Davis
Slow Food San Francisco	SIO TANTO	Marika Bergsund
Tenderion Deonle's Cardan	www.siowToodsanIrancisco.com	John Casazza
The Center for This Column	www.tndc.org/our-services/community-garden	Kvan Thaver
The Control for Other Education about Sustaniable	WWW.cuesa.org	Tille Cummins
THE TIME THE	www.thefreefarm.org	The Rubanstain
The Carden Project	www.gardenproject.org	Molecularian Character Con Con Control Con Control Con Control
The Seed Library	www.sfseedlibrary.org/	INTERTRICA STOTIE AND DAVID STIVET
TNDC	www.tndc.org	
Transition SF	www transitioner oral	Kyan L hayer
Urban Share	E YOU CON CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF	
Triban Karante	www.welcomeministry.org/garden/	
Tree bases of the contract of	www.urbansprouts.org	Angels Mockey Director
Control Dullding Comeil - Norther California Chapter	www.usgbc-ncc.org	TOTAL TAYONG 11, DANIOL
OSF'S Urban Ag mmor (lead by Melinda Stone and	www.usfca.edu/catalog/artsci/urag/mmor/	Melinda Stone
Virtually Green - SCUFI.	http://virtuallygreen.com/scufis/scufi-program/	Intellida Stolic
		James main

..

· ·		
Name of Garden	Location of Garden	Managed By
Adam Rogers Park	1220 Oakdale at Ingalis	RPD
Alioto Mini Park & Community Garden	20th & Capp	RPD
Arkansas "Friendship" Community Garden	22nd & Arkansas	RPD
Arlington Garden	Arlington btw. Highland and Richland	RPD
Bernal Heights	Bernal Heights Blvd, between Gates/Banks	RPD
Bridgeview Garden	Newhall at Bridgeview	Ouesada Garden Initiative
Brooks Park	Shields & Ramsell	RPD
Candlestick Point Garden	Carroll & Fitch	N.A.
Connecticut Friendship Gardens	22nd & Connecticut	
Corona Heights	Between States St. and Museum Way, next to the States Street Playground	RPD
Corwin Street	Corwin and Douglass	RPD
Crags Court Community Garden	Crass Court & Berkeley Way	RPD
Crocker Amazon	Mosoow & Geneva	RPD
Dearborn Community Garden	Dearborn & Bird, (off of 18th b/w Valencia &; Guerrero)	Street Parks Program
Dog Patch/Miller Memorial Grove or Brewster Street Community Garden	Franconia & Massasoit or Brewster/Rutledge	RPD
Fort Mason Community Garden	Bay & Franklin (near Youth Hostel)	Fort Mason Community Garden
Fort Scott Community Garden	End of Wisser Court, near Upton/Kobbe intersection	Presidio Trust
Good Prospect Garden	Cortland & Prospect	RPD
Hooker Alley	Mason, b/w Bush & Pine	RPD
Howard Langton Community Garden/Langton Mini Park	Howard St. & Langton Alley btw 7th and 8th.	RPD
Kid Power Community Garden & Park	45 Hoff btw. 16th & 17th; 45 Hoff Street	RPD
Koshland Park & Community Garden	Page & Buchanan	RPD
La Grande Mini Park & Community Garden	End of Dublin St., near Russia, in park	CAN
essing & Sears Mini Park & Community Garden	Lessing & Sears	RPD
Little Red Hen Garden	350 Amber Drive	Friends of Glen Carvon Park
McLaren Park Community Garden	Leland & Hahn	CAX
Michelangelo Playground & Community Garden	Greenwich btw. Leavenworth & Jones	RPD
Minnie and Lovie Ward Rec Center Garden	650 Capitol Ave	RPD
Noe Beaver	Noe & Beaver	KPD
Ogden Terrace Community Garden	Ogden & Prentiss	KPD
Page and Laguna Mini Park (aka Rose/Page Mini Park)	Page & Rose btw Laguna & Octavia	RPD
Page Street Community Garden	438 Page St. (btw. Buchannan and Webster)	RPD
Park St Garden	San Jose Walkway btw. Park & Richland	RPD
Parque Ninos Unidos/Treat Commons	23rd & Treat	CAN
Portola Street Community Garden (in the Presidio)	Intersection of Portola St and Rodriguez St, next to Building 760	Presidio Trust
Potrero del Sol Park & Community Garden	Potrero & Cesar Chavez (eastside)	CAX
Potrero Hill Community Garden	20th & San Bruno	CAX
South Baker Beach Community Garden (in the Presidio)	Brooks Rd off Lincoln Blvd	Presidio Trust
Vintaria Manala Phases		The second secon
A ACCOUNT INTERIOR EN CONTROL	Cleveland & Sherman (Folsom & 7th)	RPD

.

•

Name of Garden	T Annels of Contract	
West Washington Community Garden (in the Presdio)	Country Road armos from Building 1417	Managed By
White Crane Springs Garden	End of I release, the man of the	Presidio Trust
Wolfe Lane	יייני טי באיני וויייני אירי ווייני אירי אירי ווייני אירי ווייני אירי אירי אירי אירי אירי אירי אירי	RPD
22nd & Kancas	Kunedge and Mullen, on misside	RPD
Alemany Form / St Monde Tiston Vand B.	Zand & Kansas	Street Parks Program
Anza Vista & O'Barrell	700 Alemany Blvd (in St. Mary's Park, next to housing authority)	RPD
Comise Conden	Anza & O'Farrell	Street Parks Program
Cave Calue	Mayflower St & Carver	Street Parks Draman
Danves / Memit Inangle	Danvers & Market	Street Darke December
Double Rock Community Garden, Alice Griffith Farm	Griffith & Fitzgerald	NATA TOSTALL
Eco SF's School Farm at Ruth Asawa School of the Arts	555 Portola Drive	TANK T
FARM - Hooper Street & 8th	On Hooper St near 8th Next to California Callara of an	Education Outside and Eco SF
Garden for the Environment	7th & Lawton	Street Parks Program
Gates Street Wildlife Garden	Cates at Powhattan	Garden for the Environment
Goettingen Steps	Grettingen of Prairies	Street Parks Program
Hayes Valley Farm - Parcel O	Tames her, Eall & Cat.	Street Parks Program
Hayes Valley Farm - Parcel P	Lagard Own Fall & Oak	Hayes Valley Farm
Hayward Rec Center Garden	Laguna Diw Fell & Oak	Hayes Valley Farm
Hidden Garden Stens	Golden Gate and Laguna	CommunityGrows
Honking Wallana / 200 Callan	16th Ave between Kirkham and Lawton	Street Parks Program
Transe Overmonte: Candian	Correct & Hopkins	Street Parks Program
To blane	Mendell & Innes	Street Parks Program
	La Playa, between Judan & Kirkham St.	Street Porlor Duranum
Los Famos Garden	Foerster & Los Palmos Drive	State Lans Logism
Maytlower & Bradford	Marflower & Bardford	Succe Farts Frogram
Mayflower Garden	100 May flower at 50 Bradford Bernal Wainder	Street Faries Program
Mehose at Detroit	Metrose at Datest	Street Parks Program
Noe Valley/Sally Bruen Branch Library	AST Targon, Street	Street Parks Program
Ord Street Retaining Wall	Ord 8. 18th Church	SFPL
Pennsylvania Garden	ייים אין יייים אין אייים אין	Street Parks Program
Please Touch Community Garden	remayivania Ave between 18th & Mariposa	Street Parks Program
Progress Park	Learning Street	Lighthouse for the Blind
Quesada Gardens	Libraria Surect, Octween 25th & 25td, 280 on ramp	Street Parks Program
Rincon Hill Dog Park	ord and Quesaga	Quesada Garden Initiative
San Francisco General Hosmital	Deale & Bryant Street	Street Parks Program
Sanchez & Liberty	1001 Potrero Avenue	· Joan Varney
Catum Chaot Doule	Luberty (a) Sanchez	Street Parks Program
Century Change Chains	Satum Street & Lower Terrace	Street Parks Program
Tom / Contains	Saturn Street & Stairway	Street Parks Program
The Butter oaks	Deadend of Tara, adjacent to 280	Street Parice Drogram
Vice have noted the Frogram at St. State, Mobile Garden and Native Plant Nursery	1600 Holloway Ave: mobile garden	Systems with Office in the Director
Visia rounce Carden	Carvet & Bradford	Street Parks Draws
Walker Dicops	Waller, between Broderick & Buena	Creen Bode De
		Succe Fares Frogram:

		•
Name of Garden	Location of Garden	Marie and The
Laguna Honda Hospital Therapeutic Farm & Cardens Project		VALUE ECO DY
	3/3 Laguna Honda Bivd	CELIBIT
Choose Garden (aka Pajoe Bros Chimer Garden)		124 LA 14
Comment of the commen	Cupper Street & Grandsnew Avenue	naa
Crowing Home Community Conden		77
CYCHING COMMING COLUCIA	(250 Octavia Blvd (Retween 1 thy & Oath	4.44.44
		- Are
ward-tund Community Garden (in the Freezicho)	MacArtin Ave near Building 857/850	17 17 15
3 70 70 8	The same of the sa	to constitution
Lotai: 84 Gardens Existing on Public Land with No Sign Up Restrictions		

•

•

٠

-

.

Dent	Program	7		4000	Krountur	e Budget	-FY11-	Correlation Agriculture Budget - FY11-12 through FY13-14	FY13-14 (1911) (
Agency			Une time?	FY11-12 Funding		FY12-13 Funding	<u> </u>	FY 13-14 Funding	Noties
ADM	Real Estate - 1 South Van Ness - rooftop	2SRPFSRZ	<b></b>	<u>د</u>	15,000	\$ 20,000		25,000	One 0922 Manager I and one 73
	garden								for a rooftop garden on top of 1 South Van Ness office building.
W ADM	Real Estate - McAllister/Larkin garden	ZSRPFSRZ	×	\$.		, v	S.		2,500 Permits for vertical garden addition.
ADM	Real Estate - Octavia	ZSRPFSRZ		s	4,708	\$ 4,7	4,708 \$	4,708	Staff time on licenses and permits - for Havee Vallay Earn
	parcel gardens								
ADM	Urban Agriculture	1GAGFAAA	×	<b>ب</b>	•	\$ 120,000	\$ 000		\$120K total addback in FY12-13 - will go towards the department that will hold the program
CPC	Urban Agriculture	1GAGFAAA	•	<b>⋄</b>	1	\$ 1,7	1,711 \$	1,711	One 5293 Planner spending 1% of their staff time on Urban Agriculture each year
DPH	Community Based	1GAGFAAA	×	\$ 10	108,608	\$	8	1	Contracting funds to Welden House Study Courts
	Health Services - Growing Home						•		implementation and evaluation funds. Funds for supplies, food incontinuous and etc.
	Community Garden								יייכבוית בי פווח בורי)
Н	Environmental Health - Agriculture Program	1GAGFAAA		\$	3,511	\$ 5,8	3,511 \$	3,511	Agriculture Commissioner - (time is estimated at 4 hours per month) responding to public inquries regarding bees, labeling requirements for selling urban agriculture products to businesses
НДО	Environmental Health - Agriculture Program	1GAGFAAA		<b>\$</b>	1,064	\$ 1,0	1,064 \$	1,064	One 3450 Agriculture Inspector (time is estimated at 2 hours per month)- respond to public inquiries, certification of producers
DPH	Environmental Health - 1GAGFAAA Food System	1GAGFAAA		s	1,588	\$ 1,5	1,588 \$	1,588	One 2820 Senior Health Planner (time is estimated at 2 hours per month)- staff time to attend urban agriculture
р Н	Environmental Health - Regulatory Food Program	1GAGFAAA		v,	2,144	\$ 2,1	2,144. \$	2,144	0932 Manager (estimated at 2 hours per month) to certify farm stands, respond to public inquiries around selling urban agriculture products, other public health questions.
НДО	Environmental Health - Regulatory Food Program	1GAGFAAA		s	1,626 \$	\$ 1,6	1,626 \$	1,626	One 6122 Senior Environmental Health Inspector (estimated at 2 hours per month)-time to respond to public inquiries.
							,		
	٠								
ı									

. "															-											
	CCSF Urban Agriculture Budget - FY11-12 through FY13-14 One FY11-12 FY12-13 FY 13-14 Notes		Testing for School Gardens and City owned. State Lead Poisioning Grant.	To be used over 3 years to support community eardens	Costs were sent over to DPW.	•	One 3417 Gardener spending 25% of their time, and \$30K for materials and supplies		2,416 Volunteer run, with some help from one 3417 gardener	spending about 3% of their time.	L,UUU AVErage tool loss to tool lending library.	16,920 One 9922 Public Service Aide spending 30% of their time	dedicated to supply delivery.	Street Park stewards pay for installation of water meters, DPM nave monthly makes bill	One 2017 Program Support Applied and discount	time dedicated to program management	Materials and supplies, including ladders wheel harrows	bags, fruit pickers, buckets and outreach materials for the	program.		One 33.4. Fublic Service Aide Spending 35% of their time dedicated program coordination	One 9916 Public Service Aide spending 15% of their time on	program support.	Grant provided to San Francisco Parks Alliance to provide	community outreach and planning activities required to	Garden and outdoor science education program for elementary school.
	1-12 through FY 13-14	Funding	1		•		52,500		2,416		000,1	16,920		15,500	23 544		,			1		,		1		
		E .	v>	\$			٠,		S		^	s		\$			v		_ .	٠ <u>٠</u>		\sqrt{s}		٠Ş		S
	e Budget - Fr FY12-13	Funding	<b>1</b>	,			52,500		2,416	,	ηηηή.	16,704		15,000	23.244					1		1		1	•	,
	tare)	•	ν. Ο	0	<del></del> :	-	<del>ن</del> ه	-	\$		<u>ን</u>	6	_	\$ 0	2 5		\$ 0			4 \}		8		N.	•	\$ 0
	ban Agricul FY11-12	힐	10,000 10,000	159,600	· ,		52,500		2,416	1	ને	16,469		14,400	22,112		2,500	•		18,534		5,608	j	21,525	•	25,000
•	14 C		^	\ <u>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</u>	•		<b>₩</b>		₩.	-	<u> </u>	\$		<b>⋄</b>	\sqr		❖		-	<u>ጉ</u>		\$	1	<b>⇔</b>	_	<b>\$</b>
	One	En >	<u>&lt;</u>	×													×		<u> </u> ;	Κ.		×		×		×
· .	Fund	ביונטוועטר	Z-CASP RIF	2SCHSPHF			SLAAAAAA		SHAAAAAA	2SGTEGTN		1GAGFAAA		2SGTFGTN	1GAGFAAA		1GAGFAAA			AAATTO AOL		1GAGFAAA.		SCSIFOCT	· .	2SAGFACP
ar section	Program	Fordronmontal Hoalth	Services - Lead Testing for Gardens	Environmental Health	Services - Mirant Settlement dollars		Laguna Honda - Orchard, Flower and	Vegetable Garden	SF General Hospital -	Street Parks - Materials 2SGTEGTN	& Supplies	Street Parks - Support	Staff	Street Parks - Water Bill	Street Parks -	Management Staff	•	Urban Gleaning	marchians of Supplies	Urban Gleaning	program coordination	Urban Gleaning	program support	Relocation of Hayes	valley ramp	Bret Harte School Garden
a de la companya de l	Dept/	Hdu		рРН						MdC		DPW		WdC	MdQ	-	MdQ.	•	Mad	: i		DPW	:07	<u> </u>		ENV

.

Adding Sangaran			CCSF	pan.	Pricultur	8	CCSF-Urban Agriculture Budget EX11.12 through Ex13.14	1010F	Total Car	CAV.
Dept/ Agency	Program	Fund	One-	FY11-12 Funding	-12	FY12-13	Ŧ	FY 13-14	14	Notes
ENV	Garden for the	2SPWFSWN	×	s	50,000	s	g	, ,	1	Competitive grant for diverting organize from household
	Environment									waste. Gardening and Compost classes, adult education,
ENV	Southeast Food Access	25AGFACP	,	V	17 500	į,			Т	garden management.
	Workgroup		<	ኍ	27,700	٠.	•	<u>ሱ</u>	ı	Campaign to increase access to healthy food, nutritional food
EN	<b>118</b> 0	2SPWPSWN '	×	ς.		\$	1	\$	44,000	Competitive grant for diverting organics from household
,										waste. TBD for gardening and composting classes.
ENV	Urban Ag	2SAGFACP		\$	58,567	5	62,565	\$	3,960	63,960 One 5638 spending 41% of their time on Urhan Agriculture
		2SPWFSWN								programming.
> >	Urban Orchards Program	ZSENVCPR	×	\$	t	∽.	32,966	\$	30,000	Planting fruit trees though the Local Carbon Fund.
ENV	Urban Orchards Program	2SAGFACP	×	S	15,000	is	<b>'</b> .	\$	•	Incoming grant from San Francisco Foundation.
ENV	Urban Sprouts	2SAGFACP	×	S	26.881	\sqrt{v}	,	\ \	-	Application properties and the middle and the second
ENV _	Urban Sprouts*	2SAGFACP	×	ę,	51,178	· •	34,000		16,000	16,000 Juvenile Probation Department project at Log Cabin Ranch.
PUC	Bonnie Sherk - I iving	SCADADA		1	000	- [	000	-		
}	Library	*********	,	ጉ ሉ	חחיחי	·`	100,000	۲. ۲.	100,000	At each site, children and youth participate in transforming
					-	٠				their schoolyards and neighborhoods by planting organic
			٠						,	vegetable gardens. Students develop green-job-skills
			.,.						<del></del>	training through hands-on participation with gardening,
	•	-			•					Water conservation, rainwater harvesting, leadership
							·		<u> </u>	Opportunities, service learning, and other areas. Funds
										from the Public Utilities Commission will be used for
										personnel, materials, and administrative support, to deliver
					•				<u>-</u>	this program at SFUSD schools, as well as to help maintain
				•					<u> </u>	the learning environments that are created at the Living
	•									Library & Think Parks sites.
PUC	Crocker Amazon	SCAAAAAP '	×	₹.	. '	0	10000	Ų		
				<b>`</b>			2000	<b>^</b>	1	Funds for urban agniculture at Crocker Amazon demonstration project

THE STATE OF THE S			CCSFU	rban Agricult	CCSF Urban Agriculture Budget - FY11-12:through FY13-14	11-12 through	WINTER CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE
Dept/ Agency	Program	Fund	One- time?	Fyrding	FY12-13 Funding	FY13-14	Notes
PUC	Education Outside - school gardens	SWPUCOPF	×		\$ 100,000	-	Funds for the San Francisco Green Schoolyard Alliance to:
							(1) develop educational outcomes related to the PUCs water, sewer and power services and operations through
		-					the Green Schools Corps program, including green
							schoolyards, and (2) develop, capture, and present the
	•					:	experience K-5 grade San Francisco Unified School District
							(Server) students will have related to the PUCs water, sewer and power services and operations.
ار. 1ام	Environmental livetar	_					
ر ا ا	Environmental Justice	SWPUCOPF	,	· ·	\$ 39,301	\$ . 40,001	40,001 One 1824 Principal Administrative Analyst spending 25% of
	or raild ose	4					their time on Urban Agriculture, (implementing pilot Urban
•	-			•			Ag programs through the department and implementing
•							land use policies to support urban agriculture on PUC
				•			owned land).
) )	Garden For the	5CAAAAAA.		\$ 135,000	\$ 135,000	\$ 135,000	135,000 Demonstration Garden on PUC land, providing gardening
	Environment	SWAAAAAA				,	Workshops, SEUSD fieldring and demonstration and de-
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		SCAAAAAA		· <u>·</u>	,	•	areas for pollution prevention and water conservation.
PUC	Garden Project*	FCAAAAB		2 2 500	-		
1		2144444		nnn'nna'T <	\$ 4,318,682	\$ 2,320,000	\$ 2,320,000 Provides job training and support to former offenders
•		5) AMAGAAP					and at-risk youth through counseling and assistance in
		JAHAHAN C		,			continuing education. Garden Project Apprentices
	*				•		learn horticulture skills and grow vegetables that feed
						÷	seniors and families in San Francisco.
PUC	Low Impact Design -	SCAAAAAP	×	. \$	\$ 300,000	S	Low Impact Deciso - College Hill 11th an Arr Bitat
	College Hiil Urban Ag Pilot	,		٠	•		educational demonstration garden pilot.
PUC	Pilot Water Efficiency	SWAAAACP	×	\$ 100,000	1	- \$	Grant program to provide inequalistics of dedicated
	for Community.						Irrigation water services and meters at community and
	Gardens Grant				,		Lithan ag sites demonstration cardons and cards and
	Program						urban market gardens in San Francisco
							ייי בייי בייי בייי בייי בייי בייי בייי

14 Per 12 System			CCSFU	rban	Vericultu	ro Bri	dopt EV	11212	*Program	CCSF Urban Agriculture Rindset : EV11212 + bringet EV13:14
Dept/	Program	Fund	Ore	CV 1 15		2			ns one	
Agency			time?	Funding	1 2	Funding	<u> </u>	Funding		NOTES
PUC	SAGE*	SWAAAAA		٥	72,500	w	65,000		8	The Sunol Ag Park is an 18-acre farm on PUC land. The
	••••				,					park is dedicated to promoting sustainable farming,
	•									public education and natural resources stewardship.
										The park's tenants are local farmers who grow food
•	<u>.</u>									for families and market outlets in nearby
•								~~~		communities, share infrastructure, get training in
							,		•	organic agricultural practices, and pay rent and water
										costs.
טמי	Urban Ag Pilot	SWPUCOPF	×	٠	1	\$	99,228	v	-	Funds for the design and planning of the PUC's College Hill
	Program (College Hill)									Reservoir Urban Agriculture and Environmental Education
7110	11 July 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2						.			Center.
۲. ا	Urban Ag Priot -SE	5CAAAAAP	×	s	'	₩.	99,000	↔	1	Funding to support the development of an urban ag
	I reatment Plant at									site on PUC land at Evans & Phelps.
0	בימוזים כי ווכולים			_			ĺ			
2	Wastewater Utility	SCAAAAAA .			\$40,167	s	42,380	\$	42,380	Funds one 5602 Utility Specialist spending 25% staff
·	Specialist		•			•		•		time on technical review of Urban Ag pilot programs.
THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	Switch Charles and California and Ca	And the second s							•	•
AEC.						w	40,000	Ŋ	000'08	80.000 Funds one 5261 for 50% of their time-new addition
REC .	OS Community Garden	2SOSPCPR	×	<b>\$</b>	120,000	S	96,000	S S	1	Funds capital improvements such as irrigation procing
	Baseline							:		control, signage, access, etc., as needed, to RPD's
, via						- 1			Ţ	community gardens.
ر او	Us community Garden	ZSOSPCPR		٠	80,000	v)	104,000	Ś	200,000	200,000 Funds one 5274 Landscape Architect who spends
	Daycille	,	,							approximately 25% of their time managing RPD's
CHE	Control Assessment	1000Th		1		ı				community garden program.
÷	datuen Applennoes	16A6FAAA		v.	401,073	y.	401,073	'n	401,073	CBO Contract with the Garden Project to facilitate job
		,							•	training program for ex-offender, and at-risk youth, (linked
TOTAL				Š		•		•		with PUC program above).
				7	21112	4	5 5,557,779 5 4,474,411 5 3,693,146	S. 3.	593,146	

<sup>\*</sup>Programs are run outside the City and County limits, but employ and service City residents. \*\*The Open Space Fund includes an annual baseline requirement for community gardens of \$150,000 per year. RPD increased the community garden set-aside to \$200,000 in FY11-12.

A STATE OF STATE	が 1995年 大学 1995年	は発生の表の意味を	SS	Urban Ar	nical fr	ire Rudoet	FTE	CV-14-42	CCE Urban Agriculture Budget FITE DV11-12 Street Fores	The second secon
Dept/ Agency	Program	14 W	One- time?	One FY11-12 time? Funding	e g	PY12-13 Funding	FY 13-14 Funding	214	ET 150	Notes:
ОРН	Laguna Honda – Orchard, Flower and Vegetable Garden	SLAAAAA		\$ 52,500		52,500		52,500	0.25	0.25 One 3417 Gardener spending 25% of their time, and \$30K for materials and supplies.
MdQ	Street Parks - Support Staff	1GAGFAAA		\$ 16,469	& &	16,704	w	16,920	0.30	0.30 One 9922 Public Service Aide spending 30% of their time
DPW	Street Parks - Management Staff	16AGFAAA		\$. 22,112	\$ 21	23,244 \$	\$	23,544	0.20	0.20 One 2917 Program Support Analyst spending 20% of their time dedicated to accomm
ENV	Urban Ag	2SAGFACP 2SPWFSWN	,	\$ 58,567	\$ 19	62,565	s	63,960	0.41	0.41 One 5638 spending 41% of their time on Urban Agriculture
PUC	Environmental Justice SWPUCOPF & Land Use	SWPUCOPF		\$	8	39,301	\$	40,001	0.25	0.25 One 1824 Principal Administrative Analyst spending 25% of
,						•				their time on Urban Agriculture, (implementing pilot Urban Ag programs through the department and implementing land use policies to support urban agriculture on PUC owned land).
PUC	Wastewater Utility Specialist	SCAAAAA		\$40,167	\$ 12	42,380	v,	42,380	0.25	0.25 Funds one 5602 Utility Specialist spending 25% staff time on technical review of Urban Ag pilot programs.
REC.	OS Community Garden 2SOSPCPR Baseline**	2SOSPCPR		000′08 \$		\$ 40,000 \$ 200,000 \$ 104,000 \$ 200,000	v v	80,000	0.25	Funds one 5261 for 50% of their time – new addition as oir the outcome of this process  0.25 Funds one 5274 Landscape Architect who spends approximately 25% of their time managing RPD's
TOTAL				\$ 269,81	4 S	\$ 269,814 \$ 380,693 \$ 519.305	S	19 305	2.16	community garden program.

" FTEs spending greater than 10% of their time on Urban Ag. (also removed any "one-time" FTEs).

<sup>\*\*</sup>The Open Space Fund includes an annual baseline requirement for community garders of \$150,000 per year. RPD increased the community garden set-aside to \$200,000 in PY11-12.

## Audit of Rooftops of City Owned Buildings potentially suitable for Urban Agriculture

Department of Real Estate - John Updike

Audit of City Owned Buildings with Rooftops potentially suitable for both commercial and non-commercial Urban Agriculture

The City and County of San Francisco owns over 1,100 buildings scattered over seven counties in Northern California. With the assistance of the City Administrator's Office, the Department of Real Estate connected with environmental consultants that provided some assistance on the best practices and approaches used to analyze building portfolios and begin to identify potential candidate locations for rooftop urban agriculture. It was made clear this will be a multi-step, painstaking process that will take many months.

With the assistance of the Capital Planning staff, the Department of Real Estate began with the database of all non-Enterprise assets owned by the City and County of San Francisco. This list excluded the following departments:

Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) Airport Port Mayor's Office of Housing (MOH) Public Utilities Commission (PUC)

This list produced 547 buildings. The Department reviewed this list and removed the following:

All structures of less than 2,000 square feet;

Structures not capable of carrying the load of a rooftop garden:

Structures that were industrial in nature (where conflicts between heavy equipment and pedestrians would be problematic);

Structures of high security (where access is limited, such as jails, police stations); Incompatible uses (fire stations, modular buildings, buildings within compounds with high security for entry).

This reduced the list of buildings to 208 buildings. The Department did not pursue SFO Airport assets, due to its location outside the County, and security restrictions. Most likely all MTA assets would be incompatible, with the possible exception of parking garage locations (further investigation to follow). The Department of Real Estate is working closely with colleagues at the PUC, who already have a robust urban agriculture program in place, to review their in-county assets. The Department also plans to work closely with Mayor's Office of Housing staff to review their inventory, recently greatly expanded as a result of the transfer of assets from the Successor Redevelopment Agency.

Real Estate worked with Port staff to review their assets, and after an extensive engineering review, found no assets capable of accepting the weight-bearing load of a green roof.

#### Needs/Concerns:

Next steps involve more in-depth review of the remaining 208 potential building locations (and any other potentially suitable locations of the PUC, MTA or MOH). This will include a structural analysis, security review, and rooftop visit. Visits will focus on whether railings around the perimeter of the roof exist, required exiting from the roof are code compliant for additional rooftop occupancy, type of access to the roof (stairs, ship's ladder or ceiling hatches would rule out a roof for further consideration), vertical circulation within the building and reasonability of bringing tools and volunteers through building to elevators to reach roof. We'll also review existing roof penetrations and eliminate those roofs where no reasonably proximate, flat, unobstructed surface areas are available.

Next steps: The Department of Real Estate will work with the Recreation and Park Department to continue this important process.

# Waiting Lists for Community Gardens – what do other localities do?

Participating agency staff: San Francisco Recreation and Park Department – Melinda Stockman, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission – Yolanda Manzone, San Francisco Real Estate Division – John Updike, SF Environment – Mei Ling Hui and John Ribeiro-Broomhead

Analyze wait lists for residents seeking access to a community garden plot in other localities.

The Recreation and Parks Department, Real Estate Division, Public Utilities Commission, and SF Environment participated in this working group. In addition to focusing on garden waitlists, the group also focused on an inventory of all garden locations and garden related programs; additional reports have been provided for those work items.

The pod determined it was necessary to request information on coordinated garden waitlist programs in other cities to gain insight into best management practices and learn from their experiences. SF Environment led the research, requesting information from seven municipalities: Chicago, Seattle, Vancouver, New York City, Los Angeles, Austin, and Portland, OR. Data on some programs was gathered online and one city, Portland, Oregon, provided an in-depth interview on their waitlist management program.

It appears that in most municipalities, garden waitlist are managed by each garden individually. Portland reported that their cohesive garden waitlist management program was instituted last year and oversees only gardens within their Recreation and Parks Departments community garden program, which serves approximately 1,800 gardeners. Portland's program allows individuals to sign up for the waitlist at more than one location; once the individual receives a plot they are removed from all waitlists. If the individual wants to move to another plot within their garden, to a larger plot, or another garden altogether, there is a separate waitlist and protocol. Garden plots dues are based on plot size. Portland reported that they have been able to reduce garden wait times through developing a cohesive garden waitlist management program, by providing city staff to cite and remove noncompliant gardeners (as opposed to having the garden coordinator perform this duty), by providing city staff to oversee the reassignment of garden plots and collection of garden dues, and by strategically splitting some of the largest

available plots into 2 or 4 new plots. Portland's coordinated garden waitlist and oversight program requires the equivalent of one full-time staff person, with hours and assignments spread among 2-3 staff, depending on the season.

#### Update on San Francisco's Program:

San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department (RPD) is in the process of changing the administration of waiting lists for all gardens managed as part of the RPD Community Gardens Program. RPD's Community Gardens Manger, Marvin Yee, is leading this process, which involves the consolidation of existing site-specific lists into one master list and transferring the responsibility of managing the waiting list from individual Garden Coordinators to Community Gardens Program management. Now that Melinda Stockman at RPD will also spend 50% of her time on Urban Agriculture, she will work closely with Marvin Yee to implement this change and also work towards other reforms as needed. A consolidated waiting list could allow applicants to identify more than one community garden site that they would like to join, so that whichever garden has a space available first could accommodate their interest. This could alleviate pressure on certain sites that are currently more popular and have longer waiting lists.

## Needs Assessment on Urban Agriculture

Participants: The Office of the City Administrator – Amy Torregrossa and Joseph Baxter, San Francisco Recreation and Park Department – Melinda Stockmann, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission – Yolanda Manzone, Department of Public Works -- Jerad Weiner, Department of Public Health -- Paula Jones, SF Environment – Mei Ling Hui

A Needs Assessment of Community, Resident and Business Needs

The Office of the City Administrator took on the task of carrying out the needs assessment of resident, community and business needs. With such an aggressive timeline it was imperative that there were multiple ways for individuals to participate. The decision was made to create a two pillar strategy: Qualitative Interviews and Town Halls.

#### Methods:

- Qualitative Interviews: Participants are those who are currently involved in Urban Agriculture.
   Therefore, their answers will not reflect the needs or views of those who are not yet participating in Urban Agriculture in San Francisco.
  - a. One-on-one confidential interviews were conducted where a series of 15 open ended questions were asked.
  - b. The questions addressed: current trends of Urban Agriculture in San Francisco, goals outlined in the ordinance, ideas for the future Urban Agriculture Program to consider among others topics.
  - c. Interviewees identified themselves as community garden coordinators, practitioners, backyard gardeners or support organizations.

#### 2. Town Halls:

- a. Ortega Branch Library November 27, 2012 from 6:00pm-8:00pm
- b. SE Community Facility November 29, 2012 from 6:00pm-8:00pm
- c. Veterans War Memorial December 8, 2012 from 1:00pm-3:00pm

#### Summary of Findings:

#### Three main themes were evident throughout the 31 interviews and town halls:

- 1. A variety of activities take place on Urban Agriculture projects on public land in San Francisco. These different projects have different end goals.
- 2. There is a perception that existing community garden plots and garden projects are underutilized.
- 3. Prior to this process, there was a lack of communication among Urban Agriculture sectors in San Francisco.

Fifteen questions were asked 31 interviewees.

Below is a summary of the answers to three key questions asked among the varying Urban Agriculture Sectors:

Breakdown of Question 1: What do you, and or the community you represent, see as indicators of success within Urban Agriculture activities in San Francisco?

- 65% of respondents indicated that produce was not the primary output of their Urban Agriculture Project, meaning that other activities such as educational workshops, job training and community building were mentioned before food production.
- 29% of respondents mentioned a major indicator of success for projects are returning volunteers to help with workshops, harvest days or data collection.
- 52% of respondents believe that tracking the amount of food produced is not worth tracking as a metric or indicator of success.

The interviews presented a number of opportunities for the respondents to discuss the many activities that they either participate in or run in their Urban Agriculture Projects, Below in Table 1 are the activities that respondents mentioned they either participate in or are hosting on their Urban Agriculture site. Next to the activity are the benefits respondents mentioned while discussing such activities.

Table 1: Shows activities and corresponding benefits mentioned by respondents

Activity	Benefits mentioned by respondents
Animal Husbandry	Youth Development
Bee Keeping	Well Being, Ecological
Composting	Conservation
Community Engagement	Access to Food, Usable Community Space
CSA	Access to Food, Food Education
Education Workshops	Health, Environmental
Grey Water Treatment	Conservation
Growing of Produce/Flowers	Access to Food
Job Training and Job Readiness	Access to Food
Transforming Lots	Usable Community Space
School Garden Programs	Access to Food, Healthy Eating
Seed Library and Seed Saving	Conservation
Tree Planting	Exercise
Youth Training	Empowerment, Access to Food, Healthy Eating
Volunteer Programs	Education (health, environmental, job training)

Breakdown Question 2: What is your experience accessing a plot in the City of San Francisco? Particularly regarding wait lists.

- 71% of respondents emphasized the importance of addressing underutilized plots or projects throughout the city; particularly in community gardens.
- 58% of respondents mentioned the need for more Community Garden Coordinator training and/or oversight.

Breakdown of Question 3: What would consider as a successful Urban Agriculture Program?

- 90% of respondents mentioned institutional or infrastructure support of Urban Agriculture activities as an indicator of a successful Urban Agriculture Program.
- 96% of respondents mentioned needing a clear point of contact and someone within the City that can provide correct information on Urban Agriculture,

Next Steps: With the helpful information provided to the Recreation and Park Department through these interviews, the feedback provided will inform the work plan over the next year for the Department. The Recreation and Park Department will work hard to address these concerns and improve Urban Agriculture citywide by providing one point of contact and becoming Urban Agriculture experts within the City.

## Department Specific Urban Agriculture Programs

Participants: Mei Ling Hui – Department of Environment, Yolanda Manzone – Public Utilities Commission. Holly Pearson – Department of Recreation and Parks, Melinda Stockman – Department of Recreation and Parks, Jerad Weiner – Department of Public Works

Outline of current City and County of San Francisco Urban Agriculture programs within each department.

#### Current Program / Resources

Department of Recreation & Parks – The Department of Recreation & Parks (RPD) manages community gardens under their Community Garden Program. RPD provides construction and repair of basic garden infrastructure, in addition to providing compost bins at garden sites.

Department of Environment – The Department of Environment (SFE) supports urban agriculture by connecting requests for support with existing resources and agencies. SFE has also worked with other organizations to provide compost on a limited basis at special events.

Department of Public Works — The Department of Public Works (DPW) supports urban agriculture efforts through the Street Park program. The Street Park program turns over maintenance responsibility of DPW owned land to neighborhood stewards. Many of the Street Parks have a gardening or urban agriculture component. DPW also provides tools to program participants. DPW also provides compost to residents at our Community Clean Team events citywide. DPW also runs a public tool lending library.

SF Public Utilities Commission – The Public Utilities Commission supports Urban Agriculture through a grant program that provides free installation of dedicated irrigation water services and meters at community gardens, urban agriculture sites, demonstration gardens, and small scale urban market gardens in San Francisco.

Also, basic Urban Agriculture supplies such as compost, manure, and soil amendments are available locally for low or no cost from private companies. Delivery costs and quantities can be challenging for individual gardeners. The Recreation and Park Department will become an expert on these available resources and be able to direct citizens to this information in the future.

## Streamlined Application Process

Participants: Planning Department – Diana Sokolove, SF Environment – Mei Ling Hui, Recreation and Park Department – Melinda Stockmann, SPURR/SFUAA – Eli Zigas, SF Parks Alliance – Jill Brashares

Develop a streamlined application process for Urban Agriculture projects on public land, with clear evaluation guidelines that are consistent across all agencies.

Currently, people who are interested in starting a new urban agriculture project need to contact individual city departments to determine whether there are parcels available for gardening. There is not a point person dedicated to assisting applicants. This will change with the move of the program to the Recreation and Park Department — now there will be one point of contact within this department to assist the public with urban agriculture projects.

Below is a draft Urban Agriculture Project Screening Form. This form will now be circulated to for feedback. The Recreation and Park Department will take this feedback and then issue a "final" application form along with instructions and contact information for help filling out the form.

### Agriculture Project Screening Form

Submit this screening form to request assistance with starting a new urban agriculture project. Complete as much of the form you can. After your application is received, we'll contact you to schedule a meeting to discuss your proposal.

Email or Fax Completed Form T	Co: XXXXX		
Today's Date; Name of Person Completing For Signature:	m:		· · · · ·
Don't fall Analth and Following at an			
Part I - Applicant Information		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Your Organization and Individ Primary Mailing Address:	Iual Name and	□ Individual □ For-profit e	
Website:		□ Non-profit o	·
Organization Members			
Primary Contact(s)	Phone and Em	ail .	Role
÷	·		
Additional Member's Names	Phone and Em	ail ,	Role

· . ' . '		
1		•
		•
	}	•

Part 2 - Site Information

Provide as much information as you can. The Urban Agriculture Program can assist you with completing the form, as needed.

Property Owner Name and Address:	Site Address:
	Block/Lot Number:
	Project Square Footage (approximate okay):
	( ( I i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
Any Known Safety Concerns Onsite:	Any Information about Soil Quality:
TX 1.ct T 1 YY	A December 1971
Existing Land Use:	Adjacent Land Use:
[] Photo Attached  Describe Attractive on-site features, such as lev	Photo Attached
Describe Attractive diesite leatures, such as lev	er or sun exposure, size or tot, recution, etc.;
•	
·	
Zoning District:	Height/Bulk District:

	Urban Agriculture Project to Site. I den, note the closest plot-based gard		t is a plot-based	
Address:				
Part 3 -Project	Description			;
	boxes using the space below for summ	nary answers to the questions	. Attach addition	al pages to provide
and project sco	tion. For example, include base line poe, plans for classes or events, propour animal husbandry projects, etc.;			
·	•	•		
		•		
			•	
•				
Long-Term Obj	ectives:	•		
•			•	
-	• ,			
		,		
	•	•		
Describe how th	e site will be managed. Include both	day-to-day and long-term	oversight:	• • • •
•		•		
		•		•
÷		•		
				•.
•				•
	·			•

			,			. •
	•		•	•		
					•	
	•		•	·	•	
						÷
			:			
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
scribe how y	you will enga	ge the local co	ominunity in i	building and star	ting your p	roject:
scribe how y	you will enga	ge the local co	ommunity in	building and star	ting your p	roject:
scribe how y	you will enga	ge the local co	ommunity in	building and star	ting your p	roject:
scribe how y	you will enga	ge the local co	ominunity in	building and star	ting your p	roject:
scribe how y	you will enga	ge the local co	ommunity in	building and star	ting your p	roject:

Part 4 – Budget

If you don't yet have a budget for your project, please indicate that below.

- 1) Please attach information on your project's construction budget. Include existing and proposed funding sources. See Urban Agriculture Program website for a budget template.
- 2) Please attach information on your project's operational budget. Include existing and proposed funding sources. See Urban Agriculture Program website for a budget template.