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## master plan recommendations

The Ocean Beach Master Plan recommendations address the full gamut of issues identified above, proposing a vision that is comprehensive and ambitious, while reflecting extensive testing and vetting with affected agencies, and an unprecedented level of community consensus rooted in honest exploration of the imperatives, priorities, and tradeoffs at Ocean Beach.

This package of recommendations is presented as a package of improvements, investments and management practices that can achieve bestcase outcomes for many objectives through the year 2050, based on consideration and analysis to the year 2100.


## six big moves

For the sake of clarity they are grouped into six "Key Moves" each of which contains numerous recommendations, which will needs to be phased incrementally as physical conditions evolve, and regulatory and fiscal hurdles are cleared. The sequencing and phasing of these interventions will be discussed in the implementation and phasing section of this document [forthcoming].

Finally, these recommendations reflect the state of knowledge, the available consensus, and the capacity of various agencies to act as of the present planning process. An adaptive approach to implementation, based on the evolution of all these factors will be essential, and is reflected in the recommendation of a formal re-evaluation of all recommendations and underlying assumptions in 2030.

## assumptions

- Analysis to 2100 horizon
- Recommendations to 2050
- Ongoing monitoring + adaptive management
- Re-evaluation in 2030
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To date, the city has been defending the parking to the south side along the zoo Great Highway South of Sloat Boulevard and adding a first-class bike route. The with boulder revetments. Many officials agree that the road is a proxy for a much one block to terminate adjacent to the greater concern: the Lake Merced Tunnel, a 14-foot underground sewer and stormwater pipe that runs underneath the highway. The road is lightly traveled and frequently closed (most notably the southbound lanes were closed for nearly a year in 2010). Rerouting traffic from the Great Highway to Sloat and Skyline (which have capacity to spare) would allow a more flexible approach to coastal protection and create major restoration and recreation opportunities.
tame an unsafe and overwide street

Sloat Blvd is six lanes wide, with diagonal parking in the median. Zoo visitors often park there and jaywalk across the street with small children. Re-routing the Great Highway inland would allow significant improvements to Sloat Boulevard, including moving

Counterintuitively, auto access to the region could improve, as traffic controls are upgraded and this important link is no longer subject to closure by erosion or flood.
create a new gateway to the zoo and the coast.

Drivers, cyclists and Muni riders would all arrive at the south side of Sloat, where they could visit the zoo and access the coast without crossing any streets. A new access point near the pump station would provide bike parking, restrooms and information, while a restored Fleishhaker pool house could host a visitor center with food and interpretive elements. Sloat's neighborhood businesses could thrive on a safe, attractive seaside street. zoo.

## open coastal access

Removing the Great Highway South of Sloat would offer an amazing recreational resource for cyclists, pedestrians and beach users while allowing for a healthier ecosystem. Today's landscape of asphalt, rubble and boulders can be gradually transformed into a coastal trail linking Fort Funston to the rest of Ocean Beach and beyond, reminiscent of recent improvements at Land's End and Crissy Field. Infrastructure would remain, but the structures used to protect it would be designed with access, aesthetics and natural resources (like the bank swallow) in mind

reconfigure sloat/great highway and sloat/ skyline intersections

maintain 1-lane out southbound from oceanside treatment plant (OTP) for trucks 1.8 road and using OTP roof reopen armory road: skyline to zoo lot
key move 1 reroute great highway behind the zoo via sloat and skyline

benefits

- spectacular new coastal trail, continuous pedestrian connection
- enables significant retreat from coastal erosion
- more flexible infrastructure protection
- major improvements to sloat blvd design, with green infrastructure elements
constraints
- some traffic impacts, likely minor
- requires reconfiguring zoo access
- cost of roadway and intersection improvements
outstanding questions
- nature of traffic impacts
- optimal configuration of sloat blvd and adjacent intersections


remove the road, and take advantage of the opportunity

Unlike the Great Highway south of Sloat, the Lake Merced Tunnel is a significant piece of infrastructure and worth protecting in the coming decades. West of the zoo, the road is perched atop an erodable berm of construction fill, well above the pipe. Letting that vertical space go would allow a much more flexible approach to coastal protection. The recommended solution is conceptual and will require considerable study to ensure its feasibility, but the underlying ideas represent a new and more nuanced approach to the problem of erosion at Ocean Beach.
armor the lake merced tunnel with a low-profile structure

The Lake Merced Tunnel sits at a much lower elevation than the roadway. If it can be protected with a low wall, cap or interna reinforcement, it can become a sort
of "speed bump" under the beach This is a significant engineering challenge, as it needs to be protected from wave energy, flotation forces (it is mostly empty most of the time) and seismic forces. However an examination of the problem with coastal engineers and agency technical staff lend credence to the approach.
layer flexible, dynamic structures over hard structures

The structure protecting the Lake Merced Tunnel would be covered by a berm of cobble, stones that range from the size of marbles to that of softballs. These structures, modeled on natural cobble beaches, can be shaped dynamically by wave action and excel at dissipating wave energy. A second cobble berm, farther inland, would protect existing force mains and high ground near the Fleishhacker Pool building. Large quantities of sand would then be placed over the cobble, providing a first line of protection and a sandy
beach most of the time.

## restore the surface,

 allowing coastal access and ecological benefitsIf infrastructure protection alone is the goal, then a traditional seawall or revetment would do, but other important objective would be compromised. The recommended approach allows Ocean Beach to protect infrastructure while also improving recreational access, ecological function and character, in keeping with its status as a National Park. Regular placement of sand and revegetation would offer an accessible beach environment, with a spectacular trail connecting Sloat Boulevard to Fort Funston. Cobble is passable and attractive even when sand has been washed away, as it might be in major storms. And the San Francisco Zoo could find a new expression of its conservation values through an improved relationship the watershed and he coastal ecosystemincrementally withdraw from bluff edge
2.2 reinforce the Lake Merced (LM) tunnel in place, remove revetments and fill
sand nourishment via Army Corps, develop and pursue Best Practices for beach nourishment
cobble berm over LM tunnel covered by sand (via Army Corps sand nourishment) serves as wave dissipation zone; overwash occurs during severe storm events
second cobble berm protects force mains high ground at pump station, Fleishhacker bldg

terraced, vegetated seawall with cobble toe along oceanside treatment plant (OTP)

create detention swale (through zoo) and constructed wetland
2.8 fleishhaker bldg renovated as warming hut and interpretive center
2.9 interpretive elements explain stormwater infrastructure system to visitors

conduct near-term pilot studies of dynamic coastal protection: skyline to zoo lot

## key move 2

introduce a multi-purpose coastal protection / restoration / access system

## benefits

- incorporates significant coastal retreat
- protects costly infrastructure in place for decades
- a softer approach to coastal protection, that can work with coastal processes
- restores ecological and recreational function


## constraints

- significant up-front investment from multiple agencies
- challenging to maintain sand cover and surface restoration
- depends on careful integration with army corps beach nourishment
- new approach requiring careful study and monitoring


## outstanding questions

- can the tunnel be protected at a low enough profile for a reasonable cost?
- how will wave action interact with cobble and sand to shape the beach and berm?
- how will protection measures be phased to prevent spills, protect habitat, and manage cost?





## key move 2

phasing
The installation of these coasta protection measures will be an incremental process, balancing the desire for rapid improvements with the gradual evolution of the coast. Roadway and parking lot demolition can only occur as permitting and

## introduce a multi-purpose coastal protection / restoration / access system

replacement facilities are implemented In the interim, on-site pilot studies can be completed to assess the most innovative aspect of the recommendations, such as the use of cobble.

Near Sloat Boulevard, where artificial fill predominates, it will be appropriate to
excavate and remove the fill actively, as soon as the parking lot and roadway can be removed. This would be coupled with the installation of the low-profile protection of the Lake Merced Tunnel, the removal of the adjacent revetment, and the placement of cobble and sand.

Further south, where natural sandstone
bluffs predominate, coastal recession should be allowed to proceed naturally, allowing the bluff face to continue as potential habitat for the bank swallow, until hazard thresholds are reached and the sequence of protection measures are installed. At the Oceanside Treatment Plant, this threshold has already been reached, and the
revetment should be replaced with a low structure as soon as feasible, with the bluff above continuing to recede once the road is removed.

south of sloat - multistage coastal protection, 2050


introduce a stormwater infiltration wetland

The reconfiguration of Sloat Boulevard and is location in the West Side watershed creates a significant green infrastructure and stormwater management opportunity. A living system combining green streets, swales, and restored waterways moves stormwater flows and directs the water to a constructed wetland for retention and infiltration, recharging San Francisco's freshwater aquifer and combatting saltwater intrusion. The wetland would be located at the entrance to the zoo parking lot, removing a small number of spaces, which could be relocated at a proposed lot at Zoo road.

The wetland and adjacent vegetation provide habitat and recreational benefits while improving water quality. This system could be incrementally expanded to increase catchment area and riparian
features, including portions of the Zoo landscape and Lake Merced,
ultimately removing up to 33.7 million gallons per year from the combined system.

This simple and powerful gesture supports San Francisco's citywide commitment to reducing stormwater flows to the bay and ocean and to simultaneously improve public spaces and ecological amenities.

## key move 3

3.1 reduce great highway to 2 lanes + wide shoulder for cycling, emergency access
reconfigure great highway / sloat intersection following transport box to avoid erosion hot spot
distributed parking at key access nodes
restore existing restrooms, build new restrooms
3.5 improve access at judah, taraval, rivera and noriega
traffic calming + mitigation measure to lessen neighborhood traffic impacts



## key move 3

## benefits

- a restored dune system is given space to migrate landward, allowing a wider beach as sealevel rise sets in.
- allows space for additional amenities, improved beach access
- favors pedestrians, bicycles, beach access, and wildlife over traffic flow


## constraints

- traffic impacts, which may be significant, in adjacent neighborhoods
- limited space gained at substantial cost
- potential to bring more users to plover habitat areas
- some redundancy between recreational trails
outstanding questions
- what will traffic impacts be and to what degree can they be mitigated?
- how much additional beach width will result in the long run?

section D (great highway at wawona)


> section E (noriega seawall at rivera)
key move 3 reduce width of great highway to provide amenities / managed retreat



## key move 4

and nourishment via army corp of engineers along southern end of middle reach dune restoration in key locations especially at lincoln and vicente
4.3 sand ladders and modular boardwalks provide access while limiting impact



## key move 4

middle reach native dune restoration
benefits

- ecological restoration and improved aesthetics
- removal of non-native species
- lower profile will allow more visual access to the ocean
- potential for improved sand management with lower profile
constraints
- significant cost
- challenge of fully removing nonnative grasses
- access to dunes limited to protect restoration
outstanding questions
- would a native dune form offer comparable coastal protection?
- to what degree would management of windblown sand be improved
- how can sand placed through beach nourishment best feed the dune system?




## key move 4 middle reach native dune restoration
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## key move 5

better connection between golden gate park and beach
5.1 improve parking lot, preserve event/flexspace capacity
5.2 maintain row of "watching the water" parking
5.3 modify parking entrances, and improve pedestrian crossings at JFK/Beach Chalet
5.4 provide vertical arrival element / overlook at ends of Golden Gate Park
5.5 add east side bike lanes (in both directions), connect bike trail with GGP
5.6 add abundant bike parking
joint City/Federal Parking Management Plan
5.8 introduce appropriate landscape site elements

The coastal frontage of Golden Gate Park - the O'Shaughnessy parking lot and seawall promenade - does not currently provide the spectacular sense of arrival that it could. Identified by GGNRA plans as a location for active and vibrant activities, it is currently defined by a large expanse of asphalt, with a great deal of unused parking most of the time. Pedestrians arriving from the park find a confusing path to the sea and few basic amenities

This Key Move would rework this space to create a multi-use space appropriate to the context and program of this critical connection, while maintaining parking, providing basic amenities and appropriate landscaping, and allowing for continued use for major events.
key move 5
better connection between golden gate park and beach


## key move 5

## benefits

- a sense of arrival in a contextappropriate landscape
- improved basic amenities at the busiest access point
- maintains parking and event capacity while improving pedestrian and bicycle safety
- improved environmental
performance with permeable paving alternative energy


## constraints

- cost
- interagency management challenges

section G (at o'shaughnessy seawall)





## key move 6

bicycle + pedestrian improvements north of balboa
6.1 narrow Great Highway North of Balboa ( from 4 to 2 lanes)
6.2
keep diagonal Cliff House parking
6.3
narrow Point Lobos Avenue from 4 lanes to 2, add 2-way separated bikeway on inland side. Separated bikeway along cliff to prevent bicycle/vehicular conflict on steep slope
6.4 Connect bike lane to bike trail to Lands End and add "bicycle box" at Pt Lobos and 49th

North of Fulton Street, the great highway carries much less traffic than its design would suggest, and it presents a confusing and unwelcoming condition to pedestrians and cyclists. North of Balboa, there is a dangerous combination of bicycle traffic, diagonal parking, and a steep grade. These recommendations would narrow the roadway from four lanes to two, allow fo a physically separated two-way bikeway along the bluff adjacent to the Cliff House while leaving the diagonal visitor parking intact. This shortens pedestrian crossings and addresses the nonstandard intersection at Balboa. It also presents an opportunity to make a key connection for cyclists and pedestrians to the trails at Land's End, the Presidio, and beyond, in keeping with the role of Ocean Beach as a key connector of the broader open space network.
key move 6
bicycle + pedestrian
improvements north of balboa
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## key move 6


benefits

- improved pedestrian, bicycle safety, shortened crossings
- improved aesthetics and street design
- maintains cliff house parking while reducing car-bike conflicts
- enhances key recreationa connection to land's end, coastal trail


## constraints

- modest traffic impacts
outstanding questions
- what is the optimal arrangement of bicycle lanes along point lobos avenue?
- what will the traffic impacts be?

potential pt lobos \& 49th intersection


## master plan recommendations

These six "Key Moves" outline the
Ocean Beach Master Plan's major recommendations. Each includes many individual recommendations, more than forty in all. They are organized by three geographical reaches as indicated, and will be implemented incrementally over a
period of decades.
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